



Indiana Department of Homeland Security

District Programs Information Bulletin

Date: January 28, 2010

Bulletin No: 10-005

TO: All District Administrative Coordinators
All District Coordinators
All District Fiscal Agents
All District Planning Council Members
All District Planning Oversight Committee Members
All District Response Task Force Commanders
All Emergency Management Directors

From: Randal A. Collins
District Services Branch Director, Field Division
Indiana Department of Homeland Security

Subject: 2009 District Response Task Force Equipment Grants

Purpose

The purpose of this Information Bulletin is to communicate the criteria that will be used to determine 2009 District Response Task Force (DRTF) Equipment Grants and to define the equipment grant priorities. The 2009 DRTF Equipment Grants are derived from the 2009 State Homeland Security Program (SHSP). All applicable USDHS / FEMA 2009 SHSP grant guidance also applies to the 2009 DRTF Equipment Grants.

Priorities

The priorities pertaining to the 2009 DRTF Equipment Grant Funds are as follows:

1. District All Hazard Incident Management Teams
2. District Service and Support Branch
3. Remaining Core Elements (Law Enforcement, Fire Suppression, and Emergency Medical)

Districts must demonstrate fulfillment of all Core Element equipment lists (as identified by the District Task Force Initiative / July 2009 document) before being permitted to utilize grant funds on any Supplemental Element equipment. All equipment identified for purchase must be allowable as defined by the Authorized Equipment List (AEL) under the HSGP. The AEL can be found at <https://www.rkb.us/FEMAGrants/DisplayFEMAGrants.cfm>.

Districts are encouraged to utilize community resources to satisfy the acquirement of DRTF equipment that are available. Memorandums of Understanding should be utilized to govern the use of local resources supporting DRTFs.

The following formula will be utilized when determining grant allocations for the 2009 DRTF Equipment Grants:

Base Amount (all districts)		\$10,000.00
Formally established		
Incident management Team w/ Roster		\$5,000.00
Service & Support Branch w/ Roster		\$5,000.00
Law Enforcement Response Group w/ Roster		\$5,000.00
Emergency Medical Service Group w/ Roster		\$5,000.00
Fire Suppression Group w/ Roster		\$5,000.00
Supplementary Element # 1		\$2,000.00
Supplementary Element # 2		\$1,000.00
Number of Years DRTF has been established (Since 1 st deployment exercise)		
2 Years		\$2,500.00
3 Years or more		\$5,000.00
Developed, DPC approved, and submitted to IDHS		
DRTF Strategic Development Plan		\$10,000.00
District Strategic Plan		\$10,000.00
DRTF Activation Process (Flowchart & SOP)		\$5,000.00
District Population (2005 Census Population Estimates)		
Largest	District 5	\$10,000.00
Number 2	District 1	\$9,000.00
Number 3	District 3	\$8,000.00
Number 4	District 6	\$7,000.00
Number 5	District 2	\$6,000.00
Number 6	District 10	\$5,000.00
Number 4	District 9	\$4,000.00
Number 3	District 4	\$3,000.00
Number 2	District 8	\$2,000.00
Smallest	District 7	\$1,000.00
Number of Counties in District	Per County	\$1,000.00

Number of Agencies formally supporting DRTF w/ personnel/resources	
\$100 per agency up to 40 Agencies	\$4,000.00
Number of personnel on Incident Management Team	
22 or more	\$3,000.00
11 – 21	\$1,500.00
Number of personnel on Law Enforcement Response Group	
34 or more	\$3,000.00
17 – 33	\$1,500.00
Number of Personnel on Service Support Branch	
16 or more	\$3,000.00
8 – 16	\$2,000.00
4 – 7	\$1,000.00
Number of Personnel on the Emergency Medical Service Group	
56 or more	\$3,000.00
28 – 56	\$2,000.00
17 – 27	\$1,000.00
Number of Personnel on the Fire Suppression Group	
36 or more	\$3,000.00
18 – 35	\$2,000.00
8 – 17	\$1,000.00

Districts are encouraged to complete and submit a comprehensive gap analysis pertaining to the equipment lists that define what equipment districts have, what the districts need, and the community capacity to provide absent equipment. Remaining funds will be provided to districts as determined by IDHS to support those districts with the largest gaps.